

**FARM LABOR AND THE AGRO-ECOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT
OF UPLAND RICE FARMING IN POMPONAN, BAYBAY, LEYTE**

A Thesis

Presented to the

**Faculty of the Graduate School
University of San Carlos
Cebu City**

**In partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree
Master of Arts in Anthropology**

by

Simeon C. Bernados, Jr.

October 1995

**222328c.1
UNIVERSITY OF SAN CARLOS
LIBRARY**

AUG 06 1996

APPROVAL SHEET

This Dissertation entitled "FARM LABOR AND THE AGRO-ECOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF UPLAND RICE FARMING IN POMPONAN, BAYBAY, LEYTE" prepared and submitted by MR.SIMEON C. BERNADOS, JR. in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF ARTS IN ANTHROPOLOGY has been examined and is recommended for acceptance and approval for **ORAL EXAMINATION**.

THESIS COMMITTEE

Florentina Z. Lasaca
FLORENTINA Z. LASACA, Ph.D.
Adviser

M. N. Maceda
MARCELINO N. MACEDA, Ph.D.
Member

Jose
JOSEPHINE L. AVILA, M.A.
Member

McSaniel
MONTANA C. SANIEL, Ph.D.
Member

PANEL OF EXAMINERS

Approved by the Committee on Oral Examination with a grade of *Passed*.

McSaniel
MONTANA C. SANIEL, Ph.D.
Chairman

Florentina Z. Lasaca
FLORENTINA Z. LASACA, Ph.D.
Adviser

Jose
JOSEPHINE L. AVILA, M.A.
Member

M. N. Maceda
MARCELINO N. MACEDA, Ph.D.
Member

Accepted and approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF ARTS IN ANTHROPOLOGY.

Comprehensive Examinations PASSED: _____

Montana C. Saniel
MONTANA C. SANIEL, Ph.D.
Dean, Graduate School

October 6, 1995
Date of Oral Examination

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement

List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	v
List of Plates	vi

CHAPTER 1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS SCOPE

INTRODUCTION	1
Rationale of the Study	1
Theoretical Background	3
Conceptual Framework	12
THE PROBLEM	
Statement of the Problem	16
Statement of Hypotheses	17
Significance of the Study	17
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
Research Environment	20
Research Subjects	22
Research Procedures	23
DEFINITION OF TERMS	26

CHAPTER 2 THE RESEARCH AREA

The Historical Background of the Area	27
The Socio-Demographic Profile	29
The Systems of Land Use and Its Classification	33
The Respondents' Profile	36

CHAPTER 3	FARM LABOR IN UPLAND RICE FARMING	
	The Agronomic Cultural Practices of Upland Rice Farming	48
	Farm Labor Used in Agricultural Tasks	64
	Time Allocation for Each Agricultural Task	66
	Determinants of Time Allocation	72
	Drudgery Level of Farm Labor	73
CHAPTER 4	WOMEN'S OFF-FARM ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES	
	Work Activities of Farm Women	78
CHAPTER 5	THE AGRO-ECOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT	
	Riprapping	88
	Rice Field Fallow	91
	Application of the Contour-Farming Technique	91
CHAPTER 6	A PROPOSED FRAMEWORK FOR A DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM FOR POMPONAN, BAYBAY, LEYTE	
	Labor Use and Mobilization	94
	Stabilization of the Rice-Terraces	97
	The Introduction of the Integrated Pest Management Technology (IPM)	99
	Training on the Proper Use and Disposal of Chemicals and Other Insecticides	101
CHAPTER 7	SUMMARY, FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Summary	103
	Findings	105
	Conclusions	108
	Recommendations	109
BIBLIOGRAPHY	113
APPENDICES	118
CURRICULUM VITAE	133

Think not and ask not, what you can do to your knowledge but think and ask what your knowledge can do to you. Knowledge is not a matter of accumulating and sharing. But it is a matter of assimilating. Accumulation often results to erudition; assimilation leads to integration. He who always accumulates and shares but seldom assimilates is like a clatter of empty tin cans "full of sound and fury signifying nothing".

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Saying a word of thanks has already become a cliché, thus, need to be restated. However, expressing gratitude has never been a trite act for it has been universally accepted. But by simply enumerating individuals to say "Thank You" often leads to omitting some few due to the human memory's own limitation. Nevertheless, it has never been a limiting factor in expressing one's indebtedness.

First and foremost, the author gratefully acknowledged the support extended him by the ViSCA-GTZ. Through this program, he was given an opportunity to enjoy a much sought scholarship grant for two years. To the ViSCA Scholarship Committee, the author took off his hat.

To the author's adviser, DR. FLORENTINA Z. LASACA. Her critical comments made the author methodically doubt some assumptions in order to strengthen their certainty. I doubt, therefore I am.

To the author's panelists: DR. MONTANA SANIEL, DR. MARCELINO MACEDA, AND MS. JOJO AVILA. Your review of the study has greatly improved the work in its contents and perspectives. Thank you very much of the time you shared with the author. Whatever is, as long as it is, is not.

To MS. MA. TERESA B. BARREDA, the author's data encoder, typesetter and loving financial adviser. Her effort in encoding the text and proofreading the manuscript has greatly reduced the burden of the author in tackling the typographical details. Without you my darling, life would have been so boring. *Nemo dat quod non habet.*

To the author's parents, DR. AND MRS. SIMEON P. BERNADOS, SR. Thanks a lot for the help you have extended me and my family especially on the moments when the well runs dry. Your being there has greatly shaped the present course of life.

To his brothers, sister and in-laws for the favors you have provided, I salute you for this. *Si ille, cur non ego.*

To his colleagues in the Department of Arts and Letters, thanks a lot for the moral support you have extended and for handling the extra academic load in my stead. *Ad astra, per aspera.*

The write-ups of this study would have not been made possible without the support of the following people: The Martinez Family: Tay Mangi – the never-tiring guide, – Nay Espe, Day Ruth and Dodo, Noy Tano, and Noy Cesok, the key informants of this study, Roger Lorejas, for some other information, and the Pomponan farmers who made up the respondents of this study and who were willing enough to offer substantial information for this endeavor. Thank you very much.

To my prayer partners: Tony Abamo, Felix Ocon, Dan Tan, Dar Lina and Dar Molato, Julie Itumay, Tots Molato, JoCin, JoMal, DensQ and the rest of the LA Family. Thank very much for the prayer support. *Oremus pro invicem.*

Finally, to the Supreme Being, the author's Provider and Guide for the gift of life that he has enjoyed. HIS providence has greatly helped him to carry on despite the ebb of life. Without you Lord, there will be nothing. You give reason for everything – a Reason to Live and a Reason to Die. *Ut unum sint.*

After this, what's next? *Quo vadis?*

Jun

Maritess

Hannah

Carl

This memento is lovingly dedicated to you. You have been my inspiration and reason to finish this endeavor.

Papa Jun

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		
1.0	The Breakdown of the Household Units in the different areas of Barangay Pomponan	22
2.0	The Age-Sex Composition of Barangay Pomponan during the 1990 Census	30
2.1	The Age Composition of the 57 Respondents in Barangay Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	37
2.2a	The Number of Children in the Respondents' Household in Barangay Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	38
2.2b	The Number of Dependents in the Respondents' Household in Barangay Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	39
2.3	The Respondents' Educational Attainment as translated into the Number of Years Spent in School	39
2.4	The Respondents' Subsidiary Economic Activity	40
2.5	The Number and Percentage of Respondents Having Multiple Subsidiary Economic Activity	41
2.6a	Land Ownership of the Respondents in Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	42
2.6b	Respondents' Mode of Land Occupancy in Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	43
2.7	Respondents' Length of Experience of Farming in Barangay Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	44

2.8	Respondents' Source of Agronomic Knowledge in Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	45
2.9	Types and Combination of Non-rice Vegetation Planted by Respondents in the Farm	45
2.10a	Respondents' Frequency of Cropping Per Year in Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	47
2.10b	Yield of Farm in the Respondents' Field in Pomponan, Baybay, Leyte	47
3.0	Respondents' Preference in Performing the Agricultural Tasks	55
3.1	Labor Allocation of the Respondents for the Different Field Tasks	65
3.2	Average Labor Time Input Relative to the Different Agricultural Tasks	68
3.3	Average Labor Time Input of the Field Preparation Phase Involving Field Repair and Plowing Tasks	71
3.4	Respondents' Perception on the Drudgery of Farm Labor in Relation to the Phases of Agricultural Cycle	75
3.5	The Relationship between the Drudgery of Labor and the Scope of Work as Translated into Time Input	77
4.0	Labor Constructs of Farm Labor as Applied to Different Field Tasks	80
4.1	Non-Rice Economic Activities of Pomponan farm Women	82